WHENTIAL PROTECTION
Same Decome
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0112697 DATE: <u>12/14/2010</u> ARRIVE: <u>1030</u> DEPART: <u>1130</u>					
FACILITY NAME: PHOENIX GROUP					
FACILITY LOCATION: 1163 NW 55TH STREET					
FORT LAUDERDALE 33309-2821					
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: OSCAR FLORES PHONE: (954)747-6200 Email: oflores@phoenixgroupfla.com Mobile: (954)214-9628 CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Email: Mobile: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 9/2/2007 / 9/2/2012 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?					
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) □Yes ⊠No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) □Yes ⊠No					

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. - (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:

a)	maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	⊠Yes [] No
b)	monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Xes] No

		0	
c)	considering the use of lo	w-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes

d)	implementing inventory control	practices to prevent spillage?	9

e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

1	0 0	1		0	1	J			
1.	spraying light col	lored coating	s before dark colored coa	atings to reduce	the	number of cleaning			
	cycles?						Yes	No No)
2)	recycling cleanin	g solvents?					Yes	No No)

No No Yes

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
	Yes	No
	Yes	⊠No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?	Yes	No
Elizabeth F.Susky 12/14/2010		

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

12/14/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 12/14/10, AQD staff observed operations at Phoenix Group. The facility is a cabinet shop that has one paint spray booth and two closed dust collectors. Mr. Alex Flores accompanied staff on the inspection. The VOC records will be sent to the department as the computer system was down and Mrs. Flores was working to retrieve them. Houskeeping was okay and some of the filters for the spray booth were changed during the inspection.

No

Yes No